





E-Transfers: One Year Later Workshop

Reflecting and Learning from Electronic Cash Transfers in the Haiyan/Yolanda Response

This checklist is based on lessons learned and shared by Humanitarian Actors (HAs) and Financial Service Providers (FSPs) who delivered cash transfers following Typhoon Haiyan/Yolanda in the Philippines in 2013-14. This checklist presents <u>suggested</u> minimum requirements for electronic cash transfers in the aftermath of emergencies. It covers four phases: planning, contracting, implementation and post-implementation monitoring & evaluation. Humanitarian Actors and Financial Service Providers are encouraged to modify / use this checklist as it best adapts to their implementation context.

MINIMUM STANDARDS CHECKLIST FOR E-TRANSFERS

1. PLANNING

Consider hybrid cash disbursement models such as direct cash in-hand while e-transfers are being set up to cater to urgency and offset technology requirement-led delays.

Humanitarian Actors (HAs):	Financial Service Providers (FSP):
☐ Identify target locations	☐ Confirm availability of branch locations / coverage
☐ Target number of households	☐ Ensure branches are functioning / non-functioning / and expected time for branches to start functioning?
☐ Confirm transfer amount	☐ Confirm Branch capacity (volume – number of non-emergency transfers, cash flow)
☐ Confirm mode of transfer (e-remit, mobile cash, mobile banking, courier, other?)	☐ Consider seasonal peaks for FSP branches (Easter, Christmas, holiday season?) Are there additional capacity (investment) needs —
☐ Confirm available FSPs in the target area (Google maps¹ / FSP website branch locator)²	☐ Manpower: Is there a need for additional trained staff / phones to cater to the HAs disbursement requirements?
☐ Discuss FSP services, advantages, target beneficiary / client suitable for	☐ Paperwork: Does the HA need additional paperwork for beneficiary verification, validation, reporting
\square Confirm that fee structure for FSP is clear and has been discussed	☐ Cash: How much additional cash is needed over and above FSP day to day cash disbursements?
☐ Confirm that you have discussed vulnerability criteria	☐ Security (for additional cash)
such as disabilities, accessibility, distance, cost, technology etc.)	☐ Risk / mitigation for additional cash flow (additional costs? Cost sharing of cost of risk absorption?)
2. CONTRACTING	☐ HA target beneficiary profile is available and is

² Example: <u>www.cebuanalhuillier.com</u>



https://www.google.com/maps/d/viewer?mid=zs_H893eRKo0.kTHWddX3Sr50







shared?

Humanitarian Actors (HAs):	Financial Service Providers (FSPs):
☐ FSP has a pre-existing contract / MoU in place and has been shared	☐ Does the HA have any MoA / MoU to be signed? Has this been shared / reviewed
☐ Know your customer (mandatory regulatory checks) are clearly explained and understood by both parties	☐ Know your customer (mandatory regulatory checks) are clearly explained and understood
☐ Consider: has the FSP implemented a similar modality before? If yes, in which locations? How many transfers (number of beneficiaries and value of CT) were they able to cater to? What were the challenges	☐ Consider: has the HA implemented a similar modality before? If yes, in which locations and with how many beneficiaries? What were the challenges?
☐ Documentation requirements are met for HA to sign up as a FSP client (ex: Business Permit, BIR certification, financial audited statements?) 3	☐ Does the HA have any specific requirements (timeline of delivery, geographic accessibility for beneficiaries /clients?)
☐ Authorized signatories are available (both parties)	☐ Does the HA have any specific reporting requirements for cash disbursement?
\square Beneficiary acceptable identification is available for cash collection	☐ Service Level Agreements are discussed and included (realistic, clear and transparent)
☐ Does the FSP have door to door cash delivery option or other mechanisms to accommodate more vulnerable (i.e., senior citizens	☐ What are the exit clauses, deal breakers?
☐ Service Level Agreements are discussed and included (realistic, clear and transparent)	
☐ Exit clauses, deal breakers are clear	
☐ Consider rounded up cash transfer / pay out amounts to support ease of cash delivery according to currency availability (example instead of providing P 1,354, consider rounding to 1,350 or 1,400)	

³ See Annex C for a sample contract and required documentation. This will help discuss alternatives if some are not feasible









3. IMPLEMENTATION

NOTE: Some FSPs may provide you with their software and an agent ID. In this case, it is the HA that takes on the responsibility of uploading the correct beneficiary data on the system for disbursement. If this is the case, speak to the FSP about technical requirements for use of this software, familiarize yourself with it, and check if the FSP will provide technical trouble shooting for your staff.

Humanitarian Actors (HAs):	Financial Service Providers (FSPs):
☐ Front end (user experience) and back end (HA – FSP side) are clear to both parties	☐ Roles, responsibilities and limitations are set out and discussed;
☐ Technology requirements of the pay-out system have been considered: Telecom network connectivity, online, offline cash disbursements, electricity shortages	☐ Potential problems / issues (from past experience) are documented, identified
☐ External shocks and resulting delays have been considered: earthquakes, another typhoon, other	☐ HA and FSP discuss means to resolve potential issues during pay outs / cash outs
☐ A comprehensive process flow exists / is discussed / mapped out	☐ Technology, process is simple, easy to use, takes into account beneficiaries with low literacy, access / familiarity with technology
☐ User experience – Technology awareness, previous use, familiarity, ease / difficulty to adapt to new technologies, literacy levels of beneficiaries are considered	☐ Training needs pre disbursement and on-site support is discussed
	☐ HA can / will provide on-site support
☐ Potential areas / problems are identified / acceptable resolution discussed (can also feed into pre-training needs)	☐ Data management – identification of beneficiaries, registration (single: HA / FSP only? Or joint), maintenance of master list, minimum data requirements
☐ FSP and HA have discussed beneficiary training	for FSPs are clear / outlined
needs (pre disbursement – if any)	☐ Data discrepancies and means to resolve are outlined / discussed
☐ FSP can / will provide on-site support	
☐ Data management – identification of beneficiaries, registration (single: HA / FSP only? Or joint), maintenance of master lists, minimum data requirements	☐ Acceptable bene ids, lack of ids, HA provided ids, barangay clearance certification, loss of ids prior to cash out
for FSPs are clear / outlined	☐ Transparency / accountability hotlines provided









□ Agree on alternate acceptable IDs for beneficiaries in case the beneficiaries do not have valid photo id (e.g. can the HA / FSP agree on alternate ids such as NGO provided picture IDs, Mass barangay clearance certification) □ Transparency / accountability hotlines provided □ HA and FSP should also discuss how concerns / calls will be dealt with and tracked □ Printed materials such as brochures, IEC materials (information, education, communication materials), Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)	 □ Printed materials, brochures, IEC materials, FAQs are available and made available to the HA (information, education, communication materials), □ FSP staff has been trained on FAQs and can support on-site problem resolution.
If the technology / process is new to you, it's good to pilot the entire process (end-to-end) with a small number of people during Week 1 to understand the bottlenecks / fault lines prior to rolling out new cash transfer technologies at scale.	
4. POST PAY OUT/CASH OUT MONITORING	
Humanitarian Actors (HAs):	Financial Service Providers (FSPs):
☐ Reporting / monitoring processes of cash out / pay out lists are clear and in place	☐ Reporting / monitoring of cash out / pay out list process is clear and in place
☐ Beneficiary cash out / management information that the FSP can provide is sufficient and clear	☐ Beneficiary cash out information requested by the HA is clear and can be provided
☐ Information needed by the HA is clearly established	☐ HA information needs are clear
☐ Protocol for information transfer from FSP to HA is clear (including form, soft copy, hard copy and frequency of data transfer)	☐ Protocol for information transfer from FSP to HA is clear (including form, soft copy, hard copy and frequency of data transfer)
☐ Protocol for managing data discrepancies is established and clear	☐ Protocol for managing data discrepancies is established and clear
☐ Turn-around time for data discrepancy resolution has been established	☐ Turn-around time for data discrepancies has been established

